



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,765	01/28/2002	Darryl Richard Schick	122185.100A	4138
26119	7590 07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN LLP 121 S.W. SALMON STREET SUITE 1600			NATNAEL, PAULOS M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		2614	
			DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	Applicant(s)				
		10/058,765	SCHICK ET AI	L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u>-</u> .		Paulos M. Natn					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on the cov	er sheet with the correspondence	address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howation. 195, a reply within the statutory my period will apply and will expire by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely filed inimum of thirty (30) days will be considered to e SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	his communication.			
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>22 April 2005</i> .					
2a)□		☑ This action is non-fi	nal.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠	 Claim(s) 1-3,5-16,18-20 and 35-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 1-3,5-16 and 18-20 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 35 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 36-52 is/are objected to. 						
Applicat	ion Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note th	e attached Office Action or form	PTO-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been rec cuments have been rec he priority documents h Bureau (PCT Rule 17.	reived. reived in Application No reived been received in this Nation 2(a)).	nal Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	o/SB/08) 5) 🗔	Paper No(s)/Mail Date] Notice of Informal Patent Application (I] Other:	PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/058,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

1. Upon further consideration, the previously indicated allowability of claim 35 has withdrawn. Examiner regrets the inconvenience this may cause the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim **35** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hossain, U.S. Patent Appl. Publication # 2003/0059199A1 in view of Ogilvie, U.S. 6,292,224.

Considering claim **35**, Hossain discloses the following claimed subject matter, note; a) an image processing memory portion, the image processing memory portion including an image buffer for the computation of an image from a digital image file, is met by the digital video storage and playback standards 24, fig.2;

b) an integrated circuit in communication with the image processing memory portion, the circuit including integrated processing capability for the computing of a the image corresponding to the digital image file, is met by computer 14, fig.2;

Application/Control Number: 10/058,765 Page 3

Art Unit: 2614

c) a video memory portion in communication with the circuit, the video memory portion being capable of storing a plurality of computed images that are computed by the circuit, is met by Transport Digital Storage Media 38, fig.2;

Except for;

d) wherein the apparatus is further capable of inserting phase compensation pixels in between video frames so that an identical subcarrier phase is established in consecutive video frames;

Regarding d), Hossain discloses a computer 14 that includes memory and software Hossain does not specifically disclose inserting phase compensation pixel. However, phase compensating in order to prevent dot-crawl in television signals is well known in the art. In that regard, Ogilvie discloses a method for eliminating dot-crawl on NTSC TV monitors. The additional half cycle causes a subcarrier phase inversion from frame to frame, which produces undesirable dot-crawl. The improvement comprises incrementing the phase of the color subcarrier by a fixed increment at a number of intervals in each picture frame to produce a total phase shift which prevents the phase inversion. It would have been therefore obvious to the skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the reference Hossain by providing the phase compensation method of Ogilvie in order to prevent dot crawl on the TV monitor.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-3,5-17,18-20, are allowable over the prior art. Art Unit: 2614

5. Claims **36-39**, is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to disclose the combination of the following limitation, comprising: a direct memory access controller that is capable of providing efficient data transfer to or from the media or interfaces that provide the digital image files to the apparatus, the image processing memory portion, the integrated circuit, and the video memory portion; and, wherein the apparatus is further capable of *inserting phase* compensation pixels in between video frames so that an identical subcarrier phase is established in consecutive video frames, as in claim 1;

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tsyrganovich, U.S. 6,163,346 discloses dot crawl reduction in NTSC/PAL graphic encoder.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paulos M. Natnael whose telephone number is (571) 272-7354. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:00am - 6:30pm.

Art Unit: 2614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571)272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paulos M. Natnael Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

July 11, 2005